1	Judge Ricardo S. Martinez	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
11	Plaintiff,) NO. C06-1712RSM)
12	v.) ORDER DISMISSING CLAIMS OF
13	\$7,604 IN U.S. CURRENCY, and \$42,050.44 IN U.S. CURRENCY,) EULALIO LEMUS-MONTELONGO) AND ALMA GONZALEZ, AND
14	and \$42,050.44 IN U.S. CURRENCY, more or less, and all proceeds therefrom,	DEFAULT JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE
15	Defendants.))
16)
17	Plaintiff, United States of America, filed its Verified Complaint for Forfeiture In	
18	Rem against \$7,604 in U.S. Currency and \$42,050.44 in U.S. Currency, more or less, and	
19	all proceeds therefrom ("defendant currency") on November 27, 2006, pursuant to Title	
20	21, United States Code, Section 881(a)(6) for violations of Title 21, United States Code,	
21	Sections 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(A), and 846.	
22	On February 26, 2007, Plaintiff sent the Notice of Complaint for Forfeiture in Rem	
23	and copies of the Verified Complaint of Forfeiture and Warrant for Arrest in Rem via	
24	registered mail, to attorney George Paul Trejo, Jr., counsel for Eulalio Lemus-	
25	Montelongo. The Notice of Complaint detailed the requirements for properly filing a	
26	statement of interest.	
27		
28		

On March 7, 2007, Attorney George Paul Trejo, Jr. filed two Notices of Appearance as to the defendant currency. In each notice, Mr. Trejo, Jr. stated that he was the attorney for Eulalio Lemus-Montelongo and Alma Gonzalez.

On March 7, 2007, Mr. Trejo, Jr. also filed two Notices of Claim on Notice of Appearance form paper. Both notices introduced the claims of Eulalio Lemus-Montelongo and Alma Gonzalez. Neither claim was signed by either potential claimant, and only signed by George Paul Trejo, Jr. No Answer was filed after these claims were filed.

On March 8, 2007, the United States Marshals Service perfected personal service of the Complaint for Forfeiture *In Rem* upon Eulalio Monte-Longo.

Notice of the forfeiture action was published on March 14, March 21 and March 28, 2007, in The Daily Journal of Commerce. All interested persons were advised to file their claims pursuant to Supplemental Rule C(6)(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure which has since been replaced by Supplemental Rule G(5).

On November 19, 2007, Eulalio Lemus-Montelongo, Pro Se, filed a motion for a claim of property with the Court which was denied for failure to state a basis for the relief requested and for being in violation of his Plea Agreement filed in a parallel criminal case, Case No. CR06-198RSL.

No other parties have come forward to file a verified claim to the defendant currency or filed a timely answer asserting any affirmative defenses to the government's verified complaint for forfeiture and the time for doing so has passed.

Now, therefore, on the **unopposed** motion of the Plaintiff, the United States of America, to dismiss the claims of Eulalio Lemus-Montelongo and Alma Gonzalez and for the entry of a Default Judgment of Forfeiture, it is hereby

26

27

206-553-2242

206-553-6934 E-Mail: Richard.E.Cohen@usdoj.gov

Seattle, Washington 98101-1271

25

26

27

28

Telephone:

Fax No.: